



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/744,950	06/18/2001	Donald R. Ricci	13202.00267	6067
27160	7590	11/04/2004	EXAMINER	
PATENT ADMINISTRATOR			MAIORINO, ROZ	
KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN				
525 WEST MONROE STREET				
SUITE 1600				
CHICAGO, IL 60661-3693				
ART UNIT 3763				
PAPER NUMBER				
DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/744,950	RICCI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Roz Maiorino	3763	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 July 2004.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 61-91 and 116-120 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 61-91 and 116-120 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

1. Claims 61-69, 73-81, 85-91, 116-120 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6692483 to Vardi et al.

Vardi teaches a an endocardial cardiac lead comprising a catheter , a guidewire; and an endovascular sleeve comprising a first tubular passage and a second tubular passageway fixed with respect to one another the first tubular passageway comprising a first distal end and a first proximal end, the second tubular passageway comprising a

second distal end and a second distal end, the first distal end extending beyond than the second distal end to define a junction with abuts against a crotch in the bifurcated body passageway; said guidewire being disposed in the first tubular passageway and the second tubular passageway is free of any guidewire. The first and second passages both have a substantially circular cross-section. The tubular passageways are each constructed of a material having sufficient integrity to be navigated though tortuous body passageway. And Y-shuttle stent assembly with a radiopaque marker, a bifurcated stent,

2. Claims 61, 64-69, 73-81, 85-91, 116-120 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent NO. 6251133 to Richter et al.

Richter teaches a an endocardial cardiac lead comprising a catheter , a guidewire; and an endovascular sleeve comparing a first tubular passage and a second tubular passageway fixed with respect to one another the first tubular passageway comprising a first distal end and a first proximal end, the second tubular passageway comprising a second distal end and a second proximal end, the first distal end extending beyond than the second distal end to define a junction with abuts against a crotch in the bifurcated body passageway; said guidewire being disposed in the first tubular passageway and the second tubular passageway is free of any guidewire. The first and second passages both have a substantially circular cross-section. The tubular passageways are each constructed of a material having sufficient integrity to be navigated though tortuous body passageway.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 70-72 and 82-84are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6692483 to Vardi et al., or US Patent NO. 6251133 to Richter et al and further in view of US Patent No.5830196 to Hicks.

As mentioned above both Vardi and Richter teach the claimed invention except for a first and second tubular passages having a chamfered shaped distal ends. Hicks teaches a tapered and reinforced catheter

Therefore it would have been an obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have add the Chamfered shape because the tapered or chamfered shape at the tip of the sleeve or catheter minimizes insertion trauma in to the lumen. (Abstract)

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 61-91, 116-120have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roz Maiorino whose telephone number is 703-305-2336. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 703-308-2698. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RM

AM



NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700